Why You Should and Shouldn't Worry About Mobile App Security

What's the Problem?

- Mobile apps use a fundamentally different architecture than PC-based apps
- Security in mobile apps is in its infancy
- We think of smart phones as phones, not portable computers
- We think of smart phones as portable computers, not phones
- Limited control or visibility of how apps use our personal data
- Privacy Violations due to hidden, confusing, and "evil" policies and one-sided control (hint: not the user)

The Mobile Architecture

- Hardware = Software = Network
 - Can you mix and match hardware with OSes?
 - Can you connect a device of your choice to the network of your choice?
- For PCs, YES and YES by design
- For Smartphones, NO and Sometimes
- Result
 - Increased sharing of information between HW, SW, and Network owners – often hidden from user
 - Greater centralization of control (away from user)
 - e.g., Network provider can lock hardware and update OS, user updating OS may void network contract

Evolving Mobile Security

- Security is complicated and takes time and effort even by the most knowledgeable experts to get right
- Mobile security has not had the necessary time and effort by experts to mature
 - Focus is currently on "What can I do" with this new technology
 - First iterations take shortcuts to get to market, security fixed later for important apps
 - Security through obscurity (i.e., no security at all)

Smart Phones are Not Phones...

- The "phone" mentality doesn't work:
 - phones have few security exploits
 - phones don't run applications
 - phones don't have Internet access always on
- Result:
 - We don't take proper security precautions when we think of these devices as phones

Smart Phones are Not Computers...

- The "computer" mentality doesn't work:
 - Your computer is not tied to your identity through your wireless contract
 - Your computer doesn't follow you around all day while connected to the Internet, recording where you go and when
 - Your computer HW and SW is controlled by you, not shared with the network provider
 - Your computer has enough excess power, local resources, and network bandwidth to do security protocols and scans
 - Your computer lets you change security settings (e.g., proxies, network configuration, trusted CAs)
- Result: Traditional computer security precautions do not address mobile security issues
 - Increased threat of confidentiality problems
 - Melding of personal and professional lives on one device can be problematic
 - Not enough power, CPU, or network bandwidth to do security and apps effectively
 - Very limited ability to change security settings e.g., no easy way to change trusted CAs for SSL, filter traffic to blacklisted sites

Data.Flurry.com??

• Ever heard of flurry.com? They've heard of you.

តារៅ Telstra 🎅	18:11	3	77% 🔳
1	FOXTEL	×	
All Channels			>
Entertainment			>
Movies			>
Sport			>
News & Docum	entaries		>
Kids & Family			>
Music & Radio			>
Special Interest			>
Ă O	-	0	- 75
	A	~	- M
Browse Search	Favourites	iSuggest	Settings

i.,	Replay >	X • ≱ Res	sume	Decode Proces	s Filt	er 🀴 Find 😡 Save 🛙 🔞 🖉	Brows	e 😪 Clear C	ache 🔅 T	extWizard	1	
_			Web Sessions		<<	Request Builder		Filters	E Log	2	. Timeline	
	Result	Protocol	Host	URL	-	Statistics	2	Inspectors	1 1 1 1 1 1	5 AutoRe	sponder	
	200 200	HTTP	data.flurry.com www.foxtel.co	/asp.do /oris/fragments/fragment	Ξ	<pre>Request Count: 135 Bytes Sent: 36,106 (headers:35942; body:164) Bytes Received: 1,972,575 (headers:41592; body:1930983) ACTUAL PERFORMANCE</pre>						
		HTTP	www.foxtel.co	/ons/fragments/fragment								
		HTTP	www.foxtel.co	/cms/fragments/fragment	3	Requests started at: 18:02:46.523 Responses completed at: 18:02:59.237 Aggregate Session duration: 00:00:10.093 Sequence (clock) duration: 00:00:12.7140223 DNS Lookup time: 13ms TCP/IP Connect duration: 3,824ms RESPONSE CODES						
	200	нттр	www.foxtel.co	/cns/fragments/fragment								
		нпр	www.foxtel.co	/ons/fragments/fragment								
	200 200	нтте нтте	www.foxtel.co	/ms/fragments/fragment /ms/fragments/fragment		HTTP/200: 135 RESPONSE BYTES (by Content-Type) Image/png: 1,930.983						
	200 200	нттр НТТР	www.foxtel.co www.foxtel.co	/ons/fragments/fragment /ons/fragments/fragment								
	200 200	HTTP	www.foxtel.co	/cms/fragments/fragment /cms/fragments/fragment		~heāders~: 41,592						
	200	нттр	www.foxtel.co	/cms/fragments/fragment		The following are VERY rough estimates of download tim			nes when			
		HTTP	www.foxtel.co	/cms/fragments/fragment		hitting servers based	in wa	, USA.				
	200 200	HTTP	www.foxtel.co	/ms/fragments/fragment /ms/fragments/fragment	-	US West Coast (Modem	- 6KB/	(sec)				
	III					Elanced Time: 247 50	05					

HTTP Request





POST http://data.flurry.com/aap.do HTTP/1.1 Host: data.flurry.com User-Agent: Foodi/1.4 CFNetwork/485.13.9 Darwin/11.0.0 Content-Type: application/octet-stream Accept: */* Accept-Language: en-us Accept-Encoding: gzip, deflate Pragma: no-cache Content-Length: 319 Connection: keep-alive Connection: keep-alive ***.TPHONEdc2fe26bf8 929**1.***1**12*11**1*1 device.model.1 I iPad2, 101 01 01 1.4001 201 5 tapped***I *I *I Home item tapped*I *I item*I main*****I *

Data Control by Mobile Apps

- Poor granularity of control over data
 - Coarse controls
 - limits access to Internet, email, texting, etc.
 - No limits on when, how much, how these can be used
 - All or nothing decision
 - Use our app (and let us use your data) or don't use it
 - No way to run with limited access
- Undisclosed usage
 - App owner knows how app works
 - User must make tradeoff between functionality and security
- 3rd party aggregation
 - Apps use common 3rd party libraries (flurry, Google Analytics)
 - Libraries send personal data to 3rd parties without user knowing
 - "ubercookies": 3rd parties know about *all* sites you visit, not just activity at a single site

Privacy Issues

- One-sided policies
 - App owner decides on the policy
 - e.g. "HumancentiPad" episode of South Park
 - Need better visibility and informed consent
- False weighting of importance
 - Bad logic: national interests vs. individual interests
 - e.g., catching terrorists vs. *my* privacy
 - false logic: Fighting for privacy means I'm doing something illegal
 - Proper logic: (national goals) vs. (individual goals * 3,000,000)
 - catching 100 terrorists vs invading 3,000,000 people's privacy
 - Privacy is important for its own sake and for a healthy an civil society

Why You Shouldn't Worry

- Privacy is dead already
 - Considering the trends, can you imagine that in 20 years we will be able to hide anything for any significant length of time? Then why live in tomorrow's past today?
- All these problems will be solved, just like they were for other technologies
 - Better to adopt early with risks than adopt late without operational experience
- People are the ultimate problem (and solution)
 - Most serious exploits still require the human in the loop
 - Accountability, training, and policy can address most serious security problems
 - Need to let computers do what they're good at and people do what they're good at, and hope this covers everything

The Solution

- Add up all benefits of using mobile technology
- Add up all costs
 - location data, contacts, and other data leaks
 - human error
 - data aggregation by 3rd parties
 - etc.
- Compare, knowing that your calculations are wrong
 - emergent benefits are hard to quantify
 - costs are often hidden and unknowable by most users
 - consider the cost of surprises when deciding

What to Do Now

- Training and education about what is OK, what is not, what is risky, and what is recommended
 - This will change rapidly over time, so training is ongoing, not a one-time event
 - Similar to annual DoD IA Training material
- Separate work from personal as much as possible
 - Example: Good Technologies app has encrypted partition that can be used for work and wiped remotely
 - DISA vision: personal devices, government SIM
- Secure App Marketplace
 - Trusted government apps from government source
 - List of trusted personal apps from public sources

Goals for Later

- Make the mobile platform secure
 - Relying on users for security is going to fail
 - Default must be secure option (not currently possible, but maybe eventually)
 - DISA STIG for mobile devices, certification for common apps (soon?)

Backup Slides

Privacy: Beyond "I've Got Nothing to Hide"

- Information Collection
 - Surveillance ==> limited risk taking, creativity, individuality
 - Interrogation ==> innacurate or incomplete information, not answering can be incriminating
- Information Processing
 - Aggregation ==> learn detailed private information from public sources
 - Identification ==> attachment of unwanted information to person
 - Insecurity ==> identity theft, disclosure, distortion, loss of anonymity
 - Secondary Use ==> betrayal of expectations, mismatch of info with use
 - Exclusion ==> propagation of false information
- Information Dissemination
 - Breach of Confidentiality ==> release of confidential information, undermining of trust
 - Disclosure ==> distortion, limited risk taking, creativity
 - Exposure ==> embarassment, humiliation
 - Increased Accessibility ==> unwanted availability of information
 - Blackmail ==> threat of distortion, control over another
 - Appropriation ==> unwanted notoriety, exploitation
 - Distortion ==> loss of social status, disruption of social relations
- Invasion
 - Intrusion ==> disturbance, loss of solitude
 - Decisional Interference ==> inability for personal choice

Daniel J. Solove, A Taxonomy of Privacy, University of Pennsylvania Law Review, Vol. 154 No.3, January 2006, pp.477-560

INFORMATION PROCESSING

