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Our Problem

Trusting crowdsourced information

Specifically: video annotations

We can not tag videos automatically (complex, many layers,...), so we refer to the crowd, but crowdsourced annotations need to be evaluated.
Our Case Study

Waisda, a video tagging platform. http://waisda.nl

Users score if they tag simultaneously.

Tags are useful (e.g. to index video) but how to trust tags?
Measuring tags trustworthiness

How to measure tags trustworthiness?

Let's exploit the game mechanism:

\[ \#\text{matches} \approx \text{trustworthiness} \]

However, if a tag did not get any match it is not necessarily wrong.
Trust as a Beta Distribution
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Reputation-based trust

A classical approach:

- **Gather** all the evidence about a user
- **Estimate** the Beta distribution (user reputation)
- **Predict** the trustworthiness of the (other) tags inserted by the user (using the Beta)
Our hypothesis

We believe we can go beyond the classical reputation-based trust:

Who ≤ Who + How
(How) Provenance-based trust

- “How”-provenance can be used as a stereotype for tags authorship
- May be less precise than reputation but more easily available
Computing provenance-based trust

“How-provenance” is composed by several “features” (timestamp, typingDuration, ...)

We use Support Vector Machines to learn the model and make our estimates.
Combining reputation- and provenance-trust

Is reputation "solid" enough? (E.g. based on 20 obs.)

- Use Reputation! (More fine-grained)
- Use how-provenance-based estimate!
Results

Reputation combined with Provenance-based Trust

Accuracy = \frac{\#\{\text{tag: Real\_Trust(tag)} > \text{Threshold} = \text{Pred\_Trust(tag)} > \text{Threshold}\}}{\#\text{tags}}
Discussion

Who =* How

Who ≤* Who + How

How ≤* Who + How

(At least in our case study)

=* statistically not different
≤* statistically different (less)
Future Work

- We plan to apply this approach to other case studies as well.
- A generic platform for producing trust assessments based on annotated provenance graphs is under development.
- We also plan to merge provenance-based estimates with semantic similarity-based ones in order to provide a tool for a wide range of applications.
Thank you!

Questions?

d.ceolin@vu.nl